

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/890,977 20-971 9795 10/19/2001 Jia Hong Yin **EXAMINER** 7590 11/04/2004 William H Bollman PATEL, SHEFALI D Manelli Densison & Selter ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2000 M Street NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036-3307 2621

DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/890,977	YIN, JIA HONG		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shefali D Patel	2621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the field will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tutle cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	October 2001.			
•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of	wance except for formal ma		ts is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-13 and 15 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-13 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 October 2001 is/s Applicant may not request that any objection to see Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) \square accepted or b) \square the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	e	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

It does not identify the foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate on which priority is claimed pursuant to 37 CFR 1.55, and any foreign application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed, by specifying the application number, country, day, month and year of its filing.

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers filed under 35 U.S.C. 119 (a)-(d) based on an application filed in United Kingdom on February 11, 1999 (GB 9903126.2). Applicant has not complied with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63(c), since the oath, declaration or application data sheet does not acknowledge the filing of any foreign application. A new oath, declaration or application data sheet is required in the body of which the present application should be identified by application number and filing date.

Preliminary Amendment

3. Preliminary amendment was filed on October 19, 2001 and claims 10, 14, and 16 have been cancelled as requested on page 6 and have been made of record.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: **elements 100 and 106a-106d are not disclosed in the specification with regards to Figure 6**. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the

Art Unit: 2621

reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 7, and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Astle (US 5,812,787).

With regard to claim 1, Astle discloses an apparatus for encoding information into a moving image sequence (encoding system 100, col. 4 lines 52-53, for video image signal sequences. Figure 1), comprising means for identifying a region in a image in the sequence (encoder 100 identifying the region (i.e., foreground/background) in a image at col. 7 lines 19-21 and col. 10 lines 23-27), means for determining whether the said region is a moving or static region (performing motion compensation an determining the moving (foreground) and static (background) region at col. 10 lines 32-45) and means for inserting a coded into at least said

Art Unit: 2621

moving image region (encoder 100 inserting the code into moving (foreground) image region at col. 10 lines 32-45, 48-49). Also see the abstract of Astle's invention.

Claim 2 recites identical features as claim 1 except claim 2 is a decoding apparatus. Astle discloses decoding apparatus 200 at col. 5 lines 32-41 as shown in Figure 2. Thus, arguments similar to that presented above for claim 1 is equally applicable to claim 2.

With regard to claim 3, Astle discloses apparatus to claim 1 wherein means is provided to identify moving and static edges and signals representative of said moving and static image regions are sent via first and second channels so that code is inserted into or recovered from either or both of said channels (moving and static edges and signal are send via bus 108 and system bus 114 as seen in Figures 1-2 and at col. 5 lines 10-20, col. 6 lines 4-8).

With regard to claim 4, Astle discloses first and second code inserted into first and second channel, respectively at col. 10 lines 32-49.

With regard to claim 7, Astle discloses inserting code into a moving image in such a way that the code is resistant to image compression (col. 5 lines 13-16 and lines 42-47).

With regard to claim 9 Astle discloses inserting the code into boundaries between regions of different chrominance at col. 7 lines 45-59 and also seen in Figure 3 (note, chrominance are represented by different symbols, such as, +, -, #, etc.).

With regard to claim 11, Astle discloses apparatus according to claim 1, which is included in video recording at col. 4 line 51.

With regard to claim 12, Astle discloses apparatus including a digital imager arranged to obtain images of moving objects on a static background and to transmit signals indicative of the objects to a location remote from said imager (col. 4 lines 57-61 and col. 5 lines 21-23).

Art Unit: 2621

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 5-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Astle (US 5,812,787).

With regard to claims 5 and 6 Astle discloses codes with respect to moving and static images as discloses above in claim 1. Astle discloses all of the claimed subject matter as already discussed above and the arguments are not repeated herein, but are incorporated by reference. Astle does not expressly disclose whether the codes are identical or different. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Astle reference by having an identical or different codes since applicant has not discloses that having identical or different codes solves any stated problem (page 2 lines 4-8) or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention of Astle as disclosed at col. 10 lines 23-55 would perform equally well.

With regard to claim 13, it would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify the Astle reference by having an indicator of the amount of movement of the objects during a chosen time interval since applicant has not discloses that having a chosen time interval solves any stated problem (page 2 lines 4-8) or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention of Astle as disclosed at col. 10 lines 23-55 would perform equally well. It would be obvious to specify the time interval depending on one's preference, convenience, and/or necessitate.

Art Unit: 2621

9. Claims 8 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Astle (US 5,812,787) in view of Tzou et al. (US 6,389,072) (hereinafter, "Tzou").

With regard to claim 8 Astle discloses apparatus of claims 1-2 and all of the claimed subject matter as already discussed above and the arguments are not repeated herein, but are incorporated by reference. Astle does not expressly disclose locating edges in the images and comparing relative positions of the corresponding edges in first and second images. Tzou discloses locating and comparing edges of the images at col. 8 lines 43 to col. 9 lines 1-4. Astle and Tzou are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e., video encoding/decoding. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tzou with Astle. The motivation for doing so is for image quality enhancements, by providing a modification of image quality based on both motion and location as suggested by Tzou at col. 8 lines 43-48. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tzou with Astle to obtain the invention as specified in claim 8.

With regard to claim 8, Tzou discloses encoding a code in such a way that the code is resistant to low pass filtering (i.e., blurring, smoothing) (see, col. 8 lines 43-56 for image quality enhancement).

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6,272,178; US 5,426,673; US 6,173,077.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shefali D Patel whose telephone number is 703-306-4182. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am - 5:00pm (First Friday Off).

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo H Boudreau can be reached on 703-305-4706. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shefali D Patel Examiner Art Unit 2621

October 31, 2004

LEO BOUDREAU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600